

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/767,863  | 01/30/2004      | Patrick R. Lancaster III | 2906.0359-00        | 2966            |
| 22852   | 7590 10/18/2005 |                          | EXAMINER            |                 |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER              |                 |                          | TAWFIK, SAMEH       |                 |
| LLP<br>901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 |                 |                          | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |                 |                          | 3721                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/767,863      | LANCASTER ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |  |
| Sameh H. Tawfik | 3721             |  |  |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| `   | Sameh H. Tawfik   | 3721  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo         | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C       | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)                                      |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejecti   | on.   |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ). | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, | late extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as<br>even if timely filed, |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | o avoid dismissal of th   | ns of the date of<br>se appeal. Since                               |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brief   | , will <u>not</u> be entered b  | ecause  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   | TE below);  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | ow);  | 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2                                       | 41  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be  | tter form for appeal by materially re   | ducing or simplifying   | the issues for  |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rei   | ected claims.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a  | llowable if submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendme  | ent canceling the   |  |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .   | L] will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi<br>vided below or appended.  | ii be entered and an (  | explanation of  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>49-57</u> .  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | id sufficient reasons why the affida  | vit or other evidence i   | s necessary and   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S  | eal and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                               | ils to provide a  1).   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li></ol>  | on of the status of the claims after e  | entry is below or attac   | hed.  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | A NOT to a the configuration :  | dition for allows   | naa haanusa:  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>  |   |   | nice because.   |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (P1O/SB/08 or P1O-1449) Paper I   | νο(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13.   |   | hull.   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Rinaldi I. Rad  | la  |  |  |  |  |
|   | :   | Supervisory Patent  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Gmun 3700   |   |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner still believes that Geisinger's reference discloses the claimed rolling film "rolling a portion of the film web into a cable" as disclosed in Figs. 4, 5, and 6; via by rotating pallet 52 cause on rolling web 50 around the pallet (column 4, lines 40-45).